

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS  
**Elements of Economic Analysis I**  
**Econ 200 (05)**  
SPRING 2001  
PROBLEM SET 3

This problem set is due at the **beginning** of the TA session on Friday.

**Problem 1:** Further practice with utility maximization.

Suppose that Lisa has the following utility function:

$$U(x_1, x_2) = \beta\sqrt{x} + y$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  represent her consumption of goods 1 and 2, respectively. Assume that she has total income  $m = 25$ , and that the prices of the goods  $p_x = 1$  and  $p_y = 2$ .

- Solve for Lisa's optimal choices as a function of  $\beta$  for  $\beta \in [0, 10]$ .
- Graph these choices as a function of  $\beta$  and provide intuition for the general shape.
- Graph the budget constraint and the indifference curve associated with Lisa's optimal choice when  $\beta = 10$ .

**Problem 2:** Some Engel and demand curves.

Homer's utility for consuming beer and donuts is:

$$U(b, d) = b^{0.3}d^{0.7}$$

The prices are  $p_b$  and  $p_d$  and his income is  $m$ .

- Derive Homer's demand functions for beer and donuts using the substitution and the Lagrange methods.
- Draw the Engel curve for both goods.
- Draw the demand curve for both goods.
- Is either good an inferior good or a Giffen good? Explain.
- Are Homer's preferences homothetic? Explain.
- Are the goods substitutes or complements? Explain.

**Problem 3:** Expenditure minimization.

This question is a continuation of last problem set. The expenditure minimization problem corresponding to that exercise is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,y} \quad & p_x x + p_y y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x^\alpha y^{1-\alpha} = U \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta$  denote the Lagrange multiplier on the utility constraint.

- What are the parameters of the problem?
- Find the Hicksian demand functions. Label them  $x_h^*(p_x, p_y, U)$  and  $y_h^*(p_x, p_y, U)$ .
- Find the expenditure function:  $e(p_x, p_y, U)$ . What is its interpretation?
- Find  $\eta^*$ . What is its interpretation?

**Problem 4:** Verifying some properties of the the expenditure function and hicksian demands.

In the previous problem you solved the expenditure minimiation problem associated with the following utility function:

$$U(x, y) = x^\alpha y^{1-\alpha},$$

obtaining Hicksian demand curves, the expenditure function and the Lagrange multiplier,  $\eta$ .

- Show that the expenditure function is homogeneous of degree 1 in  $p_x$  and  $p_y$ . What is the intuition?
- Show that  $\frac{de}{dp_x} \geq 0$  and  $\frac{de}{dp_y} \geq 0$ . What is the intuition?
- Find  $\frac{de}{dU}$ .
- Show that  $\frac{de}{dU} = \eta^* > 0$ . What is the intuition?
- Show that the expenditure function is concave in  $p_x$ . Do this graphically and mathematically. What is the intuition?

**Problem 5:** Using duality.

In the last problem set you solved the following [UMP] :

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x,y} \quad & x^\alpha y^{1-\alpha} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & p_x x + p_y y = m \end{aligned}$$

and we obtained the Lagrange multiplier,  $\lambda^*$ , the Marshallian demand functions  $x_m^*(p_x, p_y, m)$  and  $y_m^*(p_x, p_y, m)$ , and the indirect utility function  $v(p_x, p_y, m)$ . In the previous problem you solved the corresponding [EMP] :

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,y} \quad & p_x x + p_y y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x^\alpha y^{1-\alpha} = U \end{aligned}$$

to obtain the Lagrange multiplier  $\eta^*$ , the Hicksian demand functions  $x_h^*(p_x, p_y, U)$  and  $y_h^*(p_x, p_y, U)$ , and the expenditure function  $e(p_x, p_y, U)$ . Using the results from these two exercises verify the following statements.

- a. From your expenditure function, obtain the indirect utility function. Does it coincide with your answer from last week?
- b. Explain graphically why your answer makes intuitive sense.
- c. From your Hicksian demands, use the properties of duality to obtain the Marshallian demands. Do they coincide with your answer from last week?
- d. Explain the economic intuition of your solution graphically.
- e. Can you obtain the marginal utility of income? Why is your procedure economically sensible?

**Problem 6:** Income and substitution effects.

Suppose that Charlie consumes apples and bananas and that he orders his preferences according to the following utility function:

$$U(a, b) = ab.$$

Also assume that  $p_a = 1$  and  $p_b = 2$ , and that  $m = 40$ .

- a. Write down Charlie's optimal consumption problem. (Hint: Tell me what Charlie maximizes and subject to what).
- b. What is Charlie's optimal demand of apples and bananas?
- c. Graph the budget line with black ink and label the optimal choice with the letter A.

Suppose that the price of bananas falls to 1 dollar.

- d. If I compensate Charlie for the decline in prices with sufficient money so that he can still afford the original bundle, what would this new income level be?
- e. How many apples and bananas does Charlie consume at the new prices and the new income?
- f. Using red ink, draw the new budget line and label the new optimal point, point B.
- g. Does the substitution effect of the fall in the price of bananas lead Charlie to consume more or less bananas? How about apples? How many more or less of each?
- h. How many apples and bananas does Charlie consume at the new prices and the original income? (That is  $p_a = 1$ ,  $p_b = 1$ , and  $m = 40$ .)
- i. Draw this new budget line in blue ink and label the new optimal consumption bundle,

At this point in this problem your graph should have 3 lines and three points labelled on them.

- j. Draw lines that are perpendicular to the y-axis and pass through points A, B, C. Label the income, substitution and the total effect of income on bananas. How much does initial demand change due to substitution? Income?
- k. Explain the economic importance of decomposing a price change into an income and a substitution effect.