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The answer to this question returns us to one of the main ideas of our book. If one of the categories were defined in a way that provided a basis for the other, then the interaction between the two would constitute a proof, and therefore the categories would be free of ambiguity. In fact, the interaction between the categories is the source of their meaning.
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