

Cash Flow of Future Contracts

$F_{t,T}$ forward price at time t with maturity at T

| Dates | Underlying | Future Price | Cash Flow Margin Account |
|---------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 0 | S_0 | $F_{0,T}$ | 0 |
| 1 | S_1 | $F_{1,T}$ | $F_{1,T} - F_{0,T}$ |
| 2 | S_2 | $F_{2,T}$ | $F_{2,T} - F_{1,T}$ |
| ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $T - 1$ | S_{T-1} | $F_{T-1,T}$ | $F_{T-1,T} - F_{T-2,T}$ |
| T | S_T | $F_{T,T}$ | $S_T - F_{T-1,T}$ |

Relationship between Future and Forward Prices

- Assume that interest rates r are constant (also a constant dividend yield, convenience yield and storage cost, if applicable)
- Consider strategy of starting at period $t = 0$ with $(1 + r)^{-T}$ contracts, and increasing them by a factor $(1 + r)$ between days, so that at date T you will have 1 contract (r is the "daily" interest rate).
- Increasing $\#$ contracts at date t has no cash flow effect at date t .
- Roll over at rate r the balance in the margin account, so that \$1 at date t becomes $(1 + r)^{T-t}$ at date T .

- This strategy has NO cash flow at dates $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T - 1$
- We show below that at maturity (date T) the cash flow is equal to:

$$S_T - F_{0,T}$$

which is identical to a Forward.

- Hence the future prices are equivalent to forwards as long as r is constant.

| t | a) # contracts | b) Margin per contract | c) = a) × b) |
|---------|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 0 | $(1 + r)^{-T}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | $(1 + r)^{-T+1}$ | $F_{1,T} - F_{0,T}$ | $\frac{F_{1,T} - F_{0,T}}{(1+r)^{T-1}}$ |
| 2 | $(1 + r)^{-T+2}$ | $F_{2,T} - F_{1,T}$ | $\frac{F_{2,T} - F_{1,T}}{(1+r)^{T-2}}$ |
| ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $T - 1$ | $(1 + r)^{-1}$ | $F_{T-1,T} - F_{T-2,T}$ | $\frac{F_{T-1,T} - F_{T-2,T}}{(1+r)}$ |
| T | 1 | $S_T - F_{T-1,T}$ | $S_T - F_{T-1,T}$ |

Rolling over values on column c) gives the following at date T :

For example for date 1: $\frac{F_{1,T} - F_{0,T}}{(1+r)^{T-1}} \times (1 + r)^{T-1} = F_{1,T} - F_{0,T}$,

$$F_{1,T} - F_{0,T} + F_{2,T} - F_{1,T} + \dots + F_{T-1,T} - F_{T-2,T} + S_T - F_{T-1,T} \\ = S_T - F_{0,T}$$

- Interest rates do not need to be constant for the previous arguments.
- It suffices that they are known in advance.
- If interest rates are NOT known in advance, then strategy fails (we do not know how many contracts to enter) and in general

Forwards \neq Futures

- Same problem if dividend yields, convenience yields or storage cost are NOT known in advance.

- In practice this is not a problem when variations on interest rate are small relative to variations on the price of the underlying.
- In such case, the deviations between forwards and futures are small. Consider, for example, the case where the underlying is oil (compare variability of S_0 with variability of e^{rT})
- One case where forwards can be substantially different from futures is if the underlying is a bond, since its price changes with interest rates.
- Another case where forwards can be substantially different from futures is if the convenience yield varies a lot. This happens for some consumption assets (some commodities).