## An expanded bibliography on on measurement, comparison, modification, and related matters.

Abney, S. (1989) "The English Noun Phrase in it's Sentential Aspect," MIT Phd.

- Barker, C. (1998) "Partitives, Double Genitives, and Anti-uniqueness," *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* **16.4**:679-717<sup>1</sup>. [available on his webpage]
- Bartsch, R. and T. Vennemann (1972) *Semantic Structures: A Study in the Relation between Syntax and Semantics.* Frankfurt: Athenäum Verlag.
- Bennett, M and B. Partee (1972) "Toward the Logic of Tense and Aspect in English," Santa Monica, Systems Development Corporation.
- Bennett, M. (1977) "A Guide to the Logic of Tense and Aspect in English," Logique et Analyse 80.491-517. Reprinted, 1978, in L. Åqvist and F. Guenther, Tense Logic (Louvain: Neuwelaerts), 136-63.
- Bhattacharya, T. (1999) "Specificity in the Bangla DP," in Rajendra Singh (ed.) Yearbook on South Asian Languages and Linguistics, vol 2, ed., SAGE Publications. New Delhi/ London 71-99
- Bierwisch, M. (1987) "The Semantics of Gradation," in M. Bierwisch and E. Lang (eds) *Dimensional Adjectives* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p71-262
- Bolinger, D (1967) "Adjectives in English: Attribution and Predication" in Lingua 18, p1-34,
- Bosque, I. and C. Picallo (1996) "Postnominal Adjectives in Spanish DPs," Journal of Linguistics 32, 349-385.
- Bouchard, D. (1998) "The Distribution and Interpretation of Adjectives in French: A Consequence of Bare Phrase Structure," *Probus: International Journal of Latin & Romance Linguistics*. 10(2):139-83<sup>2</sup>
- Bowers, J. (1993). The syntax and semantics of nominals. In Proceedings of SALT- III, Volume 3, pp. 1-30.
- Bresnan, J. (1973) "The Syntax of the Comparative Clause Construction in English," Linguistic Inquiry 4, 275-343.
- Brisson, C. (1998) Distributivity, Maximality, and Floating Quantifiers, Phd dissertation, Rutgers University
- Bunt, H. (1979) "Ensembles and the Formal Properties of Mass Terms," in F. Pelletier (ed.) *Mass Terms: Some Philosophical Problems* Dordrecht Reidel, 249-277.
- Cartwright, H.M. (1975) "Amounts and Measures of Amounts," *Noûs* **9**:143-164 [reprinted in F. Pelletier (ed.) (1979) *Mass Terms: Some Philosophical Problems* Dordrecht Reidel]
- Chierchia, G. (1998) "Plurality of Mass Nouns and The Notion of "Semantic Parameter," in S. Rothstein (ed.) Events and Grammar Kluwer 53-103
- Cinque, G. (1994) "On the evidence for partial N movement in the Romance DP," in Cinque, G, J. Koster, J.-Y. Pollock, L. Rizzi & R. Zanuttini (eds.) Paths Towards Universal Grammar, Georgetown University Press, Georgetown. 85-110
- Corver, N. (1990) The Syntax of Left Branch Extractions, Phd diss, Tilburg University.
- Corver, N. (1997) "Much-Support as a Last Resort" Linguistic Inquiry 28(1): 119-64.
- Corver, N. (1998) "Predicate Movement in Pseudopartitive Constructions," in Possessors, Predicates and Movement in the Determiner Phrase., Artemis Alexiadou and Chris Wilder (eds) Benjamins. Amsterdam, Netherlands; 215-58, 1998
- Cresswell, M.J. (1976) "The Semantics of Degree," In B. Partee (ed.) *Montague Grammar*, New York: Academic Press. 261-292.
- Cresswell, M.J. (1985) Adverbial Modification. Interval Semantics and Its Rivals, Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy, vol 28, Dordrecht: Reidel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper offers an explanation for a little-known but striking phenomenon first discussed by Jackendoff (1968b) that I will call ANTI-UNIQUENESS: partitives are incompatible with the definite determiner (\**I met the one of John's friends*), unless the partitive first receives additional modification (*I met the* [[*one of John's friends*] *that he traveled with from Mexico*]). I argue that an independently needed refinement of the semantic analyses of the partitive of Ladusaw (1982) and Hoeksema (1984) automatically predicts these anti-uniqueness facts. More specifically, I propose that partitivity is always proper partitivity. This will guarantee that any property denoted by a partitive will have at least two entities in its extension, and cannot uniquely identify an individual; thus partitives are anti-unique. In addition, this paper makes a new case for analyzing double genitives (*a friend of John's*) have less in common with a superficially quite similar type of simple genitive (*a friend of John*) than with standard partitives (*one of John's friends*). If double genitives are indeed a type of partitive, this explains why they also exhibit anti-uniqueness effects: \**I met* [*the friend of John's*] is bad but *I met the* [[*friend of John's*] *that he traveled with from Mexico*]} is perfectly fine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> from abstract of article: "whereas a post-N adjective combines with the head N as a fully closed-off functor category, a pre-N adjective modifies a component internal to N"

- Crisma, P. (1996) "On the configurational nature of adjectival modification" In K. Zagona (Ed.), *Grammatical Theory and Romance Languages*, number 133 in Current Issues in Linguistic Theory. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Delsing, L.-O. (1993a) "On attributive adjectives in Scandinavian and other languages," *Studia Linguistica* 47(2), 105-125<sup>3</sup>
- Delsing, L.O. (1993b) *The Internal Structure of Noun Phrases in the Scandinavian Languages. A Comparative Study.* Phd dissertation, University of Lund.
- Demonte, V. (1999) "A Minimal Account of Spanish Adjective Position and Interpretation," in J. Franco, A. Landa and J. Martín (eds.) *Grammatical Analyses in Basque and Romance Linguistics*. *Papers in Honor of Mario Saltarelli*, Amsterdam John Benjamins p45-76.
- Díez, J.A. (1997) "A Hundred Years of Numbers. An Historical Introduction to Measurement Theory 1887-1990; Part I: The Formation Period. Two Lines of Research: Axiomatics and Real Morphisms, Scales and Invariance," *Studies In History and Philosophy of Science Elsevier Science Ltd* 28.1:167-185
- Gawron, M. (2002) "Two Kinds of Determiner in DP," talk at LSA Winter Meeting
- Giusti, G. (1991) "The Categorial Status of Quantified Nominals," Linguistiche Berichte 136: 438-452.
- Giusti, G. (1997) "The Categorial Status Of Determiners," *The New Comparative Syntax*, L. Haegeman (ed.) 94-113. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [ P291.N48 1997]
- Grimshaw, J. (1991) "Extended Projection," ms. Brandeis University
- Grimshaw, J. (2000). "Extended projection and locality," In Peter Coopmans, Martin Everaert, and Jane Grimshaw, (eds.), *Lexical Specification and Insertion*, John Benjamins. 115-133.
- Hacker, P.M.S. (1979) "Substance: The Constitution of Reality," *Midwest studies in philosophy: Studies in metaphysics, Volume 4*, Peter A. French, Theodore E. Uehling, Jr. and Howard K. Wettstein (eds.) Minneapolis : University of Minnesota Press
- Hackl, M. (2000) Comparative quantifiers, Doctoral Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Hackl, M. (2001a) "Comparative Quantifiers and Plural Predication," in K. Megerdoomian and L.A. Bar-el (eds.) WCCFL 20 Proceedings, Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press. pp. 234-247.
- Hackl, M (2001b) "The Ingredients of Essentially Plural Predicates," in Mako Hirotani (ed.), *Proceedings of NELS* 32, Amherst: GLSA.
- Heim, I. (1985) "Notes on comparatives and related matters," ms. University of Texas, Austin.
- Heim, I. (2001a) "Degree Operators and Scope" In: Audiatur Vox Sapientiae. A Festschrift for Arnim von Stechow, eds. Caroline Féry and Wolfgang Sternefeld, pp 214-239. Berlin: Akademie. [http://vivaldi.sfs.nphil.unituebingen.de/~arnim10/Festschrift/]
- Heim, I. (2001b) "A Compositional Analysis of Scope-Splitting and *less*-comparatives," handout for IRCS Colloquium at UPenn, 2 February 2001.
- Heim, I., H.Lasnik & R. May. (1991) "Reciprocity and plurality" Linguistic Inquiry 22.1: 63-101.
- Hellan, L. 1981. Towards an Integrated Analysis of Comparatives. Tübingen: Narr.
- Heycock, C. and A. Kroch (1999) "Pseudocleft Connectedness: Implications for the LF Interface Level" *Linguistic Inquiry* 30(3):365-97
- Higginbotham (1994): "Mass and Count Quantifiers," Linguistics & Philosophy. 17(5):447-80
- Higgins, F. R. (1973) The pseudo-cleft construction in English. MIT PhD. Published by Garland, New York, 1979.
- Hoeksema, J. (Ed.) (1996). Partitives: Studies on the syntax and semantics of partitive and related constructions. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Hualde, J.I. (1992) "Metaphony and Count/Mass Morphology in Asturian and Cantabria Dialects." In Laufer C. & T. Morgan, eds., *Theoretical Analyses in Contemporary Romance Linguistics*, 99-114. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Jackendoff, R. (1977) X-Bar Syntax: A Study of Phrase Structure, Linguistic Inquiry Monograph Two, MIT Press.
- Kamp, J. (1975) "Two Theories About Adjectives," in E. Keenan (ed.) *Formal Semantics of Natural Language*. (pp. 123-155) London: Cambridge University Press.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This paper discusses possible structural analyses of attributive adjectives. It argues that attributive adjectives should be given the same basic structure as predicative adjectives. Attributive adjectives are analysed as lexical heads, taking the noun as its righthand specifier. This analysis, the SpecA-analysis, can account for a wide range of data concerning attributive adjectives. The paper addresses questions such as adjectival agreement, independently used adjectives, blocking effects caused by adjectives, head-movement of adjectives, and binding relations within the adjectival phrase.

- Kennedy, C. (1997) *Projecting the Adjective: The Syntax and Semantics of Gradability and Comparison*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Santa Cruz.
- Kester, E.-P. (1996) The Nature of Adjectival Inflection PhD diss. LEd, OTS, Utrecht.
- Kikuchi, A. (2001) "A Verb of Excess in Japanese," abstract from FAJL 3 (Formal Approaches to Japanese Linguistics) May 2001, MIT.
- Klein, E. (1980) "A Semantics for Positive and Comparative Adjectives". Linguistics and Philosophy 4:1-45.
- Klein, E. (1982) "The Interpretation of Adjectival Comparatives," Journal of Linguistics 18 113-136.
- Klein, E. (1991) "Comparatives," in Arnim von Stechow and Dieter Wunderlich (eds.) Semantik/Semantics An International Handbook of Contemporary Research, Walter de Gruyter, page 673-691.
- Klooster, W. G. (1972) The structure underlying measure phrase sentences Dordrecht, Reidel
- Krifka, M. (1989): "Nominal Reference, Temporal Constitution and Quantification in Event Semantics," in Bartsch, R., van Benthem, J., van Emde Boas, P. (eds): Semantics and Contextual Expressions, Dordrecht, Foris: 75-115
- Krifka, M. (1992) "Thematic Relations as Links between Nominal Reference and Temporal Constitution". In Ivan Sag & Anna Szabolcsi (eds.), *Lexical Matters*, CSLI Publications, Chicago University Press, 29-53.
- Krifka, M. (1995) "Common Nouns: A Contrastive Analysis of Chinese and English," in Gregory N. Carlson and Francis Jeffry Pelletier (eds.) *The Generic Book* University of Chicago Press
- Ladusaw, W.A. (1982) 'Semantic Constraints on the English Partitive Construction', Proceedings of WCCFL 1, Stanford, 231–242.
- Lamarche, J. "Problems for N°-movement to Num-P," Probus, 1992. 3(2):215-236. [P1.A1 P67]
- Larson, R. (1988) "Scope and Comparatives," Linguistics & Philosophy. 11(1):1-26.
- Larson, R.K. (1998) "Events and modification in nominals," In: D. Strolovitch and A. Lawson (eds.) *Proceedings* from Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) VIII. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY
- Larson, R.K.(2000) "Temporal Modification in Nominals." Handout of paper presented at the International Round Table `The Syntax of Tense and Aspect', Paris, 15-18 November 2000<sup>4</sup>
- Lehrer, A. (1986) "English classifier constructions," Lingua Vol: 68(2-3),109-148<sup>5</sup>
- Lerner, J.-Y. and M. Pinkal (1992) "Comparatives and Nested Quantification," in Paul Dekker and Martin Stokhof (eds.) Proceedings of the Eighth Amsterdam Colloquium, ILLC, University of Amsterdam 329-347.
- Liberman, M. and R. Sproat (1992) "The Stress and Structure of Modified Noun Phrases in English," in I. Sag and A. Szabolcsi (eds.) *Lexical Matters*, 131-181, CSLI Publications, Chicago University Press.
- Link, G. (1983) "The Logical Analysis of Plural and Mass Terms: A Lattice-Theoretical Approach," in R. Bäuerle, et al., (eds.), *Meaning, Use and Interpretation of Language*, DeGruyter.
- Lieber, R.(1992a) *Deconstructing Morphology: Word Formation in Syntactic Theory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lieber, R. (1992b) "Compounding in English." Rivista di Linguistica 4, 79-96.
- Lobeck, A. (1991) "Phrase Structure of Ellipsis in English," in S. Rothstein (ed.) *Perspectives on Phrase Structure* Syntax and Semantics Vol. 25, Academic Press. 81-103.
- Lobeck, A. (1995) Ellipsis: Functional Heads, Licensing, and Identification Oxford University Press<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> discusses adjectives coming in two distinct positions and relative meaning differences. thinks about: The Thursday meetings (Thu is 'generic') vs. the Thursday meeting (Thu is deictic).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Although not a classifier language, English has an open class of words functionally similar to classifiers, which include partitives, pseudo-partitives, and measure phrases. For some words which function as classifiers, the lexical and collocational properties must be directly represented in the lexicon, e.g. *pride* as the collective classifier for lion. Classifiers are not without meaning, as is evident in extended senses of these words. In many cases, classifier meaning follows from the normal meaning, and no special information need be included in the lexicon. Novel uses of words in classifier constructions require pragmatic rules of interpretation which depend on the prior existence of conventional classifiers. The syntax of classifiers in English, as illustrated in the appendix, shows the failure of all syntactic tests which purport to distinguish among measure phrases, partitives, pseudo-partitives, and complement prepositional phrases.
<sup>6</sup> This book elaborates a theory of ellipsis that sheds new light on a well-known phenomenon, bringing it under the aegis of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> This book elaborates a theory of ellipsis that sheds new light on a well-known phenomenon, bringing it under the aegis of general and universal principles. Lobeck argues that ellipted categories in IP (VP Ellipsis), DP (N' Ellipsis), and CP (Sluicing) are empty, non-referential pronominals, subject to the same licensing and identification conditions as referential *pro*. She proposes that both types of empty pronominals must be licensed under head-government to satisfy the Empty Category Principle, and identified through strong agreement. In the case of ellipsis, agreement-type features make the empty category visible to interpretive processes of reconstruction. These licensing and identification conditions derive the result that ellipses are complements of functional categories DET, COMP, and INFL, but not of lexical categories. The analysis is supported by

Löbel, E. (1989) "Q as a Functional Category," in C. Bhatt, E. Löbel, and C. Schmidt (eds.) "Syntactic Phrase Structure Phenomena in Noun Phrases and Sentences," John Benjamins, Amsterdam.

Lønning, J.T. (1987) "Mass Terms and Quantification," Linguistics and Philosophy 10:1-52.

- Ludlow, P. (2002) "Reply to Roger Schwarzschild's 'The Grammar of Measurement," Fall 2002 Workshop in Philosophy and Linguistics, University of Michigan, November 8-10, 2002
- Maienborn, C. (2001) "On the Position and Interpretation of Locative Modifiers," *Natural Language Semantics* 9:2, 191-240<sup>7</sup>
- Matthewson, L. (2001) "Quantification and the Nature of Crosslinguistic Variation," *Natural Language Semantics* **9(2)**:145-189
- McCawley, J. (1975), "Lexicography and the Count-Mass Distinction", in Proceedings of the First Annual Meeting of Berkeley Linguistics Society, 314-321.
- McConnell-Ginet, S. (1973) Comparative Constructions in English: A Syntactic and Semantic Analysis, University of Rochester, Phd.
- Meier, C. (to appear) "The meaning of *too*, *enough* and *so...that*," *Natural Language Semantics* [http://www.uni-frankfurt.de/fb10/zimmermann/cecile/PDF-files/NLSsozu.pdf]
- Moltmann, F. (1992). Coordination and comparatives. MIT Ph.D.

Nerbonne, J. (1995) "Nominal comparatives and generalized quantifiers," *Journal of Logic, Language & Information* **4(4)**:273-300

Parsons, T. (1970) "An Analysis of Mass and Amount Terms' *Foundations of Language* 6 362-388 [reprinted in F. Pelletier (ed) (1979) *Mass Terms: Some Philosophical Problems* Dordrecht Reidel]

- Partee, B. (1988) "Many Quantifiers," in Joyce Powers and Kenneth de Jong, (eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th Eastern* States Conference on Linguistics, Columbus: Ohio State University (1989), 383-402.
- Pelletier, F.J. (ed.) (1979) Mass Terms: Some Philosophical Problems Dordrecht Reidel

Penny, R. (1970) "Mass nouns and metaphony in the dialects of north western Spain," *Archivum Linguisticum* 1.21-30.

Quine, W.V.O. (1960) Word and Object MIT Press.

Ritter, E. (1991) "Two Functional Categories in Noun Phrases: Evidence from Modern Hebrew," in S. Rothstein (ed.) *Perspectives on Phrase Structure* Syntax and Semantics Vol. 25, Academic Press. 37-62.

Rullmann, H. (1995) Maximality in the Semantics of WH-Constructions, UMass Phd.

Russell, B. (1905) "On Denoting," reprinted in Logic and Knowledge, Robert Marsh (ed.) (1956) Allen & Unwin, London

Salmon, N. (1997) "Wholes, Parts, and Numbers", Philosophical Perspectives, 11: Metaphysics, Ridgeview Press

Sanchez, L. (1996) Syntactic Structures In Nominals : A Comparative Study of Spanish and Southern Quechua, Phd dissertation, University of Southern California.

Schwarzschild R. & K. Wilkinson (2002) "Quantifiers in Comparatives: A Semantics of Degree based on Intervals," *Natural Language Semantics* 10.1:1-41

Schwarzschild, R. (2001) "Intervals in the Semantics of Gradable Adjectives," [on my webpage]<sup>8</sup>

Selkirk, E. (1977) "Some Remarks on Noun Phrase Structure," in P. Culicover, T. Wasow and A. Akmajian (eds.) *Formal Syntax*, Academic Press. 285-316

Seuren, P. (1984) "The Comparative Revisited," Journal of Semantics 3:109-141.

Sharvy, R. (1980) "A more general theory of definite description," Philosophical Review 89: 607-624.

Shlonsky, U. (1991) "Quantifiers as functional heads: a study of quantifier float in Hebrew," *Lingua* **84**:159-180 Sigur\_sson, H.A. (1993) "The Structure of the Icelandic NP," *Studia Linguistica* 47(2):177-197

Snyder, W., K. Wexler, and D. Das (1995) "The syntactic representation of degree and quantity: Perspectives from Japanese and child English." In R. Aranovich et al. (eds.) Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics XIII. Stanford, CA: CSLI.

contrastive evidence from ellipsis in French and German, in which licensing and identification interact with Verb Raising, feature checking, and a parameter defining "strong" agreement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> [distinguishes three types of locative modifiers semantically and syntactically. *Eva signed the contract <u>on the last page//in</u> <u>Argentina</u>. <u>In Argentina</u>, <i>Eva is very popular*. First two are internal, external respectively and the last one is frame-setting. Syntax, modifiers base-generated in German at periphery of \_\_\_\_\_: Internal: V, External: VP, Frame-setting: TopP. Semantics: Frame: linked to referent related to sentence topic, External: linked to verb's eventuality argument, Internal: linked to a referent related to the verb's eventuality.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This is a simplified version of the analysis in "Quantifiers in Comparatives" with some additional arguments for the point of view taken there

- Sproat, R. and C. Shih (1991) "The Cross-Linguistic Distribution of Adjective Ordering Restrictions," in Carol Georgopoulos and Roberta Ishihara (eds.) *Interdisciplinary approaches to language : essays in honor of S.-Y. Kuroda* Kluwer. Dordrecht; 565-93. [P26.K82I5 1990]
- Stateva, P. (2002) How Different are Different Degree Constructions? UConn, Phd.
- Stechow, A. von (1984) "Comparing Theories of Comparison," Journal of Semantics 3:1-77
- Stowell, T. (1981) The Origins of Phrase Structure MIT Phd.
- Ultan, R. (1972) "Some Features of Basic Comparative Constructions," *Stanford Working Papers on Language Universals* 9, 117-62
- van Benthem, J. (1983a) The Logic of Time. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- van Bentham, J. (1983b) "Determiners and Logic," Linguistics and Philosophy 6:4, 447-478.
- van Benthem, J. (1986) Essays in Logical Semantics. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- van Benthem, J. (1989): "Polyadic Quantifiers," Linguistics and Philosophy 12, 437-465.
- van Riemsdijk, H. (1998) "Categorial feature magnetism: The endocentricity and distribution of projections," Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics 2(1): 1-48
- Vos, R. (1999) A Grammar of Partitive Constructions, Ph.D. dissertation, Tilburg University.
- Vos, R. (2002) "Las construcciones de cuantificador nominal en holandés y español," Foro Hispanico 21, 47-589
- Wheeler, S.C. (1972) "Attributives and their Modifiers," Noûs, Vol. VI, No. 4, 310-334.
- Zamparelli, R. (1996) Layers in the Determiner Phrase, PhD dissertation, University of Rochester.
- Zepter, A. (2001) "How to be Universal when you are Existential. Negative Polarity Items in the Comparative: Entailment along a Scale," Rutgers ms.
- Zwarts, J. (1997) "Vectors as Relative Positions: A Compositional Semantics of Modified PPs". *Journal of Semantics*, **14**:57–86.
- Zwarts, J. and Winter, Y. (2000). Vector space semantics: a model-theoretic analysis of locative prepositions. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*, 9:169–211.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> A comparative study is treated here in which the differences and similarities between Dutch and Spanish systems are discussed that are constituted for nominal quantifier followed by another number, for example, *een hoop toeristen/un montó de turistas*. Various subtypes are presented and it is shown that, in spite of the difference caused by the absence/presence of the preposition, Dutch and Spanish systems have many characteristics in common. It is argued that in essence there are two distinguishable types of nominal quantifiers, that are characterized as 'lexical' or 'functional'. The most recent are transparent, in the sense that they do not block the accessibility of the second number's exterior processing of selection and concordance.