

Unaccusativity phenomena

[Syntax handout, J. Merchant]

Key to abbreviations on example numbers:

- s = subject of transitive
- o = object of transitive
- p = subject of passive
- e = subject of unergative
- a = subject of unaccusative

1. Impersonal passives (Perlmutter 1978)

Passivization operates only on vPs that have an external argument.

2. Russian genitive of negation (Pesetsky 1982)

[neg] assigns [genitive] inside the VP (optionally)

3. Assamese case (Amritavalli and Sarma 2002)

Ergative *-e* is assigned to specvP

4. Hidatsa agreement ('active-stative' languages) (Boyle 2000)

ma- is in specvP, *mii-* in object position

5. Noun incorporation in Southern Tiwa (Baker 1988:82ff) (Mohawk, etc.)

N can raise to V from complement position (not lower from spec)

- (1)o Ti-**seuan**-mu~-ban. (cf. Seuan-ide ti-mu~-ban. *man-SUF IsS:A-see-PAST*)
IsS:A-man-see-PAST 'I saw the man.'
- (2)e * -**khwien**-teurawe-we. (cf. Khwien-ide -teurawe-we. *dog-SUF A-run-PRES*)
A-dog-run-PRES 'The dog is running.'
- (3)a We-**fan**-kur-mi.
C/NEG-snow-fall-PRES/NEG 'Snow isn't falling' (= 'It isn't snowing.')

6. German split phrases (Grewendorf 1989)

movement is okay from within the VP

- (4)s *Studenten haben fleißige das Seminar besucht.
students have industrious the class attended ('Industrious students took the class.')
- (5)o Kleider hat er immer dreckige an.
clothes has he always dirty on 'He always has dirty clothes on.'
- (6)e *Studenten haben fleißige telefonierte.
students have industrious called ('Industrious students called.')
- (7)a Widersprüche sind dem Richter mehrere aufgefallen.
inconsistencies are the judge many stricken
'The judge noticed many inconsistencies.'

7. German, Dutch, Swed 'what-for' split (Grewendorf 1989)

movement out of NP in complement position is okay, not from specs

- (8)s *Was haben für Studenten Bücher gelesen?
what have for students books read 'What kind of students read books?'

- (9)o Was hast du für Bücher gekauft?
what have you for books bought ‘What kind of books have you bought?’
- (10)e *Was haben für Leute getanzt?
what have for people danced (‘What kind of people danced?’)
- (11)a Was sind für Bücher erschienen?
what are for books appeared ‘What kind of books appeared?’

8. Russian *po* (Pesetsky 1982, Schoorlemmer 2004)

- Distributive *po* on DOs and unacc/pass subjects okay, not on xitive/unerg
- (12)s *V kazhdoj komnate po rebenku poluchil(o) knigu.
in every room po child received book (‘In every room, a child got a book.’)
- (13)o Kazhdyj rebenok poluchil po knige.
every child received po book.DAT ‘Every child received a book.’
- (14)o Ja dal kazhdomu mal’chiku po jabloku.
I gave every boy po apple.DAT ‘I gave every boy a (different) apple.’
- (15)e *V kazhdoj kvartire smejalos’ po mal’chiku.
in every flat laughed.3s.NEUT po boy.DAT ‘In every apartment, a boy laughed.’
- (16)a V kazhdom gorshke roslo po cvetochku.
in every pot grew.3s.NEUT po flower.DAT ‘In every pot grew a flower.’

9. Georgian case marking in II series (Harris 1981)

	subject	object
xitive	-ma	-i
unerg	-ma	--
unacc	-i	--

10. Italian *ne*-cliticization (Burzio 1986)

- movement from inside the VP is okay
- (17)o Giovanni ne ha insultati due.
G. of.them has insulted two ‘Giovanni has insulted two of them.’
- (18)e *Ne telefonano molti.
of.them telephone many ‘Many of them call.’
- (19)a Ne arrivano molti.
of.them arrive many ‘Many of them arrive.’

11. Hebrew (Tzotzil, Hittite) possessor raising

- movement from inside the VP is okay
- (20)s/o Le-mi ha-yalda nigna ba-psanter?
 to-who the-girls played in.the-piano = ‘Whose piano did the girls play?’
 =/= ‘Whose girls played the piano?’
- (21)e *Le-mi ha-xatulim yelilu?
 to-who the-cats whined ‘Whose cats whined?’
- (22)a Le-mi ha-mitriya nafla?
 to-who the-umbrella fell ‘Whose umbrella fell?’

12. Postverbal subjects in Hebrew (Shlonsky 1987), Greek, Romance

- (23)a Nishbar mashehu.
broke something
- (24)p Ne'ecru shlosa xayalim ba-hafgana.
were.arrested three soldiers in-the.demonstration
- (25)e *Radku shlosa yeladim ba-mesiba.
danced three boys in-the.party
- (26)a Hit'alfu shlosa xayalim ba-hafgana.
fainted three soldiers in-the.demonstration

13. Resultatives (Levin and Rappaport-Hovav 1995)

“Direct object restriction”: A resultative phrase may be predicated of a VP-internal NP, but not of an external argument or oblique complement (**She shouted at them deaf* → they end up deaf)

- (27) xitive: He shot them dead. [they end up dead, not him]
- (28) pass: The floor had been swept clean.
- (29) unerg: They laughed *(themselves) helpless.
- (30) unacc: The river froze solid. / The bottle broke open.
cf The dog barked (him) awake.
*The snow melted the road slushy.

14. Causative alternation (Levin and Rappaport-Hovav 1995)

- (31) unacc anticaus/inchoative: The window broke / opened.
The ship sank / The ice melted.
- (32) caus She broke/opened the window. They sank the ship. We melted the ice.
- (33) unerg The crowd laughed / actor spoke / children played / boy giggled.
- (34) caus *The comedian laughed the crowd. *The director spoke the actor. *The teacher played the children. *The joke giggled the boy.

15. Adjectival past participles (Levin and Rappaport 1986)

- (35)o unemployed man, uneaten food, *uneaten children (< The children ate the food)
- (36)e *unworked man, *unrun jogger
- (37)a unfallen leaves, unsunken ship, undescended testicle, unretired unionists
also in German, Dutch
Italian postnominal reduced relatives
- | | | | | |
|------|---|---------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| (38) | a | l'uomo | [arrivato a Milano] | arrived in M. |
| | b | the.man | [arrestato dalla polizia] | arrested by the police |
| | c | | *[telefonato a suo nonno] | *called his grandpa |
| | d | | *[visto Maria] | *seen Maria |

16. Jim McCloskey's 'crude' test (McCloskey 1993)

- (39)o I've written sod|fuck all this year.
- (40)s *Sod all could destroy these walls.
- (41)p Sod all was accomplished at that meeting.
- (42)a Sod all grows in my garden.

(43)e *Sod all works around here.

17. Hittite clitics (Garrett 1991)

subject occur only with unacc, not with xitive or unergs

object clitics are also okay

Weak/inconclusive tests/tests of limited usefulness:

1. -er affixation [Ag]

player, employer worker, dancer *faller, *retirer

2. -ee affixation [Th]

playee, employee *workee, *dancee retiree, ?sinkee

3. auxiliary selection (really telicity?)

4. pseudo-reflexives

Jean brisera le verre. Le verre se brisera. *L'homme se travaillera.

5. preverbal quantifiers in Cree (Dahlstrom 1986)? only modify underlying objects?

6. *there*-insertion (There were three unicorns in the garden.)

7. locative inversion (On the screen appeared a strange message.)